

Kolak, Shari

US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5



501708

From: Montfort, Guy <Guy.Montfort@tetrattech.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 4:44 PM
To: Kolak, Shari
Cc: Mastrolonardo, Ray
Subject: VI

Shari— It looks like we did actually collect samples at two locations on East Water Street that were also sampled in the TCRA (405 and 409) . Now that I remember a couple of the residents added their names after they saw us out there taking soil and groundwater samples.

In the Phase II samples (not including the Phase I locations that we tested a second time) it looks to me like EPA sent out around 100 letters (?) My "final" list from January 2013 has about 90 but I thought you told me at one time you actually sent out some additional ones for a total of about 105. I know that a few more were added – for example the police station, and some people got back to us at the last minute – actually after we were already in the field. Anyway, out of that batch of 90 on my list, the access agreements you sent me had about 19 "no" and 6 "yes", (and then there were those few add-ons like the police station and East Water Street.) But basically, about 25 to 30 percent response range.

For Phase II we really tried to go after those locations that had never been sampled before (either in the TCRA or Phase I) and were over or near the axis of the plume. Of those that were on our Phase II list there are maybe about 15 -30 (very rough guess) that I would say are over or near the plume axis in the area that is generally in the >100 ppb range (upgradient from Counts on Franklin and between Oak and Williams on Canal, and the cross streets) that were not tested during the RI because EPA got no response from them in Phase I or Phase II. There are also maybe about 25-30 locations in that zone that responded but denied access during the RI. From my records, none of these were sampled in the TCRA either. So it is likely that some of these folks have denied 3 times.